

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/620,489      | 07/16/2003                 | Raymond W. Blasingame | 15436.114.5            | 9071             |  |  |
| 22913           | 7590 12/01/2005            |                       | EXAM                   | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| WORKMAN         | NYDEGGER                   |                       | PAK, SI                | PAK, SUNG H      |  |  |
| (F/K/A WOR      | <b>CMAN NYDEGGER &amp;</b> | SEELEY)               |                        |                  |  |  |
| 60 EAST SOU     | TH TEMPLE                  | •                     | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| 1000 EAGLE      | GATE TOWER                 |                       | 2874                   |                  |  |  |
| SALT LAKE       | CITY, UT 84111             |                       | DATE MAILED: 12/01/200 | 5                |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |   |  | ~ /       |
|--|--|---|--|-----------|
|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | X         |
|  |  | 10/620,489  | BLASINGAME ET AI   |           |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |           |
| ·  |  | Sung H. Pak   | 2874   |           |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence addr  | 9SS       |
| A SH<br>WHIO<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failt<br>Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Diversions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>mely filed<br>the mailing date of this comr<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ·         |
| Status   |  |   |  |           |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S   | eptember 2005.  |  |           |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This   | action is non-final.  |  |           |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowar   | nce except for formal matters, pro  | osecution as to the m  | nerits is |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.   |           |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |  |           |
| 5)   | Claim(s) 1,3,6-12,15,18 and 39-51 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3,6-12,15,18 and 39-51 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | wn from consideration.  |  |           |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |   |  |           |
| 10)⊠   | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se<br>ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob                                  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ejected to. See 37 CFR                                | 1.121(d). |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |           |
| а)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National St   | age       |
| Attachmen  | ut(s)<br>ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary  | /(PTO-413)   |           |
| 2) D Notic<br>3) D Infor                                     | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail D  | ate  | 52)       |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicants' amendment filed 9/13/2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 6-12, 15, 18, 39-51 are now pending. In view of amended limitations and newly presented claims, the previous ground of rejection is hereby withdrawn, and a new ground of rejection is provided in this office action. Since the new ground of rejection is necessitated by the amendment, this office action is made final.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 6-12, 15, 18, 39-44, 47-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slater et al (US 2002/0172471 A1).

Slater was cited in the previous office action.

Slater discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: a housing (Figs. 2A-2B); a sleeve situated in ('108' Fig. 1A); and attached to said housing (Fig. 1C); the sleeve having a changeable inside diameter (paragraph 0009); a ferrule (paragraph 0018) removably positioned between within the sleeve, a relation between the sleeve and the ferrule being such that when the ferrule is positioned within the ferrule, the sleeve has a first

inside diameter, and after the ferrule is removed from the sleeve, the sleeve has a second inside diameter that is less than the first inside diameter (paragraph 0008); wherein the sleeve has a lengthwise slit (Fig. 1B); wherein said ferrule has an outside diameter larger than said inside diameter of said sleeve when said ferrule is not situated in said sleeve and the inside diameter of said sleeve is substantially the same as the outside diameter of the ferrule when said ferrule is situated in the sleeve (paragraph 0008, 0018-0019); wherein the ferrule holds an optical fiber (paragraph 0018); wherein an end of the optical fiber in said ferrule is aligned with an optoelectronic element situated in the housing (paragraph 0007); wherein the sleeve is configured to apply pressure at plurality of points of contact between the sleeve and the ferrule (paragraph 0024, see also Fig. 4); wherein the sleeve has a rounded outside edge and a beveled inside edge (Fig. 4); wherein the sleeve is attached to the housing by a single strip of metallization covering an arc of about 45 degrees or less of the circumference of the sleeve and running the length of the sleeve (paragraph 0020, Fig. 1C).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/620,489

Art Unit: 2874

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al (US 2002/0172471 A1).

Slater discloses an optical device with limitations set forth in the claims, as discussed above, except it does not explicitly teach the use of a single mode fiber and an optical transmitter or a receiver.

However, the use of a single mode fiber and/or the use of an optical transmitter/ receiver is well known and common in the art. Single mode fiber and optical transmitter/ receiver are advantageously used to provide efficient optical communications between optoelectronic components. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Slater to have single mode fiber and optical transmitter/ receiver.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2874

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sung H. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2874